Date: Tue, 26 Jan 93 04:30:23 PST

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #112

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 26 Jan 93 Volume 93 : Issue 112

Today's Topics:

LICENSE DELAYS

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 25 Jan 93 12:44:00 GMT

From: pacbell.com!sgiblab!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!engr.uark.edu!

mbox.ualr.edu!chaos!paul.graziani@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: LICENSE DELAYS To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

- -> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
- -> Subject: Re: License Delays
- -> From: mont@netcom.com (Mont Pierce)
- -> Date: 22 Jan 93 17:32:43 GMT
- -> If things keep going the way they are, something is bound to happen.

[Stuff deleted on wait.]

- -> Why couldn't the examiners be supplied with temp. license stickers
- -> with temp callsigns like a fishing or hunting license, valid for
- -> 6-12mo? One part of the sticker could be attached to the 610 form
- -> sent FCC and the other issued to the new first time licensee.

->

- -> Well, it just seems like something is going to give, and probably not
- -> to long from now. At the current rate that the backlog seems to be
- -> growing, it won't be long for it to take up to a year to get your

```
-> ticket...
->
-> Another thought. If they eliminate 2 of the license classes, won't
-> that eliminate 2/5ths (or more) of the work for FCC?? How about
-> this:
->
    technician class no code, xx questions, same priv. as tech
-> today
->
                                                   plus code on some
-> sub-bands
->
                                                   like 6m, 10m and/or
-> 15m
->
                                                    (they need someplace
-> to
```

Oh me, I just couldn't resist.

I have a better idea using your exact logic. How about eliminating the Technician class since its about 50% of the applicants and that would speed things up for the "real hams". :-)

Ah but that is not politically correct. Here's one better that will answer the desires? demands? of the no code at all class. It will save all of you from the endless sniveling, breath holding, lower lip pouting, and foot stomping until you all get your way.

New Proposed FCC NO Class License Application

Note: successful complettion of this application grants full privileges on all amateur bands.

Name: (Last, middle initial, first)
Mailing address:
Station Location:
City, STate, Zip
Call sign(Pick your own. Duplicates don't matter
because we are not going to enforce this mess anyway.)

Signature: Date:

Note: If you cannot sign your name, an X will do.

Note: Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you find this application too mentally trying, just forget it and go ahead and operate anyway. Like we said before, we

aren't going to enforce this mess anyway.

Now then, that should answer the problem. Very politically correct and egalatarian. No waiting for licenses or having to meet any of those nasty old discriminatory standards. Only problem, I bet it still wouldn't stop the sniveling.

- -> What do you think can be done to improve FCC's licensing process?
- -> 73,
- -> --
- -> Mont Pierce

How about a \$10 per year license or \$100 per ten year license.

Right now we are getting what we pay for.

You pay a license fee and you could demand some real service. Oh but I forgot, some kid with worn sneakers might be deprived of a ham ticket. I'll stop here and save that one for later.

73,

Paul Graziani WD5BIV

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #112 ************